

**To:** CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** []  
**From:** CN=Bruce Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Fri 6/18/2010 12:16:26 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Delta Conveyance Size, Cost and Export Comparison  
[Delta Conveyance Size, Cost and Export Comparison.pdf](#)

this seems to be the basis for some of Greg Gartrell's comments in the press. Is this old news to you guys? It certainly seems to frame the questions about capacity in a good bang-for-buck mode.

B

----- Forwarded by Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US on 06/17/2010 05:14 PM -----

From: "Jonas Minton" <JMinton@pcl.org>  
To: Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 06/17/2010 02:38 PM  
Subject: Delta Conveyance Size, Cost and Export Comparison

Bruce, I thought you would find the attached comparison of dual conveyance with a 3,000 c.f.s. north Delta intake versus dual conveyance with a 15,000 c.f.s. north Delta intake. The actual modeling work was done by Contra Costa Water district staff.

Jonas Minton  
Water Policy Advisor  
Planning and Conservation League/PCLF  
1107 9th Street, Suite 360  
Sacramento, CA. 95814  
cell (916) 719-4049